

Background and Aims

- Retrospective review of CGM data is associated with improved glycemic control, but self-initiated data review is uncommon.^[1]
- Objective: To examine the impact of a novel "CGM-powered Insights Report" on program engagement and glycemic control within Livongo for Diabetes, an RDMP.



Methods

- Members enrolled in the RDMP and who authorized data sharing of their existing Dexcom CGM^[2] automatically received **biweekly Insight Report emails**.
- Reports provided personalized analysis of CGM trends and suggested next steps within the digital coaching program.
- The impact of engaging with Insight Reports was assessed via:
 - 1. Odds ratios and Fisher's exact tests on the relative recency of key RMDP program feature utilization
 - 2. Multiple linear regression to quantify its impact on changes in glycemic control.

A Personalized Retrospective CGM Report Improves Engagement and Glycemic Control in a Remote Diabetes Monitoring Program (RDMP)

RJ Ellis, Teja Kompala, Kevin Weng, Robert Brooks, Atousa Salehi, Roberta James, Hau Liu

<u>Results</u>

1,105 members (436 T1D, 464 T2D using insulin, 205 T2D not using insulin) who received their first Report by 1 February 2022 were included for analysis.

- Members received a median of 7 Reports, with an overall open rate of 49.3% across all individual Reports (N = 8,302).
- Members who opened at least one Report (vs. opened no Reports) were more likely to subsequently utilize the mobile app, web portal, food logger, and 1-on-1 coach chat RDMP program features (Table 1, below).

Table 1. Percentage of members who utilized core program features <u>after</u> receiving their first Insight Report

Group split	Used mobile app post-Insight	Used web portal post-Insight	Used food logger post-Insight	Used Coach chat post-Insight
Never opened an Insights Report (group N = 218)	71.4% (155 / 217)	33.2% (70/211)	22.4% (17 / 76)	20.0% (5 / 25)
Opened 1+ Insights Report (group N = 887)	80.1% (710 / 886)	47.9% (415 / 866)	34.5% (109 / 316)	44.2% (53 / 120)
Percentage point difference	+8.7	+14.7	+12.1	+24.2
Odds Ratio	1.6	1.9	1.8	3.2
Fisher's exact P-value (1-tailed)	0.004	< 0.001	0.027	0.019

1. Wong JC, Neinstein AB, Spindler M, Adi S. A Minority of Patients with Type 1 Diabetes Routinely Downloads and Retrospectively Reviews Device Data. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2015;17(8):555-562. 2. Teladoc Health and Dexcom Bring CGM Technology and Personalized Insights to People with Type 2 Diabetes at No Cost. Press release, 11 January 2021. https://perma.cc/PZ3V-3V69

Results (continued)

After controlling for demographics, clinical characteristics, baseline glucose metrics, and the number of Reports received, members who opened more Insight Reports were more likely to show improvements in mean glucose, CV of glucose, TIR, and TAR.

Table 2. Summary OLS regression coefficients (t-values) associated with change in glucose metrics from first Report to latest Report (≥ 2)

Y-variable: CGM metric at <u>most recent</u> Report (N = 957)

X-variables	Mean SG (mg/dL)	SG coef. of variation	% Time In Range (70–180 mg/dL)	% Time Above Range (>180 mg/dL)
Is Male	1.16 ^{n.s.}	0.06 ^{n.s.}	-1.06 ^{n.s.}	1.00 ^{n.s.}
Is T1D	-0.42 ^{n.s.}	5.75 ***	0.01 ^{n.s.}	-0.37 ^{n.s.}
Uses Insulin	2.02 *	2.73 **	-1.75 *	2.03 *
Age at start	-0.75 ^{n.s.}	-0.26 ^{n.s.}	0.25 ^{n.s.}	-0.40 ^{n.s.}
Years living with DM	0.60 ^{n.s.}	1.99 *	-1.20 ^{n.s.}	0.95 ^{n.s.}
Months enrolled	0.76 ^{n.s.}	1.20 n.s.	-1.08 ^{n.s.}	0.73 ^{n.s.}
CGM metric at <u>first</u> Report	26.51 ***	27.38 ***	24.36 ***	28.32 ***
Reports received	2.81 **	4.21 ***	-3.59 ***	3.25 ***
Reports opened	-2.57 **	-1.83 *	2.66 **	-2.48 **
(Model R ² value)	0.46	0.62	0.50	0.49

Conclusion



For CGM users within an RDMP, engagement with a personalized Insights Report is associated with subsequent utilization of core program features and improvements in glycemic control.



Beyond the benefits of CGM itself, surfacing actionable insights within an RDMP adds value by driving members towards increased core program engagement; in particular, 1-on-1 digital coaching.

Contact: tkompala@teladochealth.com

One-tailed P-values (via partial correlations): *** $P \le .001$ ** $P \le .01$ * $P \le .05$ ^{n.s.} P > .05